UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                 | FILING DATE              | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.   | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/702,303                      | 11/06/2003               | Arnold R. Leiboff    | 461.1008              | 8007             |  |
| <sup>22846</sup><br>BRIAN ROFFI | 7590 08/24/200<br>F. ESO | 7                    | EXAMINER              |                  |  |
| 11 SUNRISE PLAZA, SUITE 303     |                          |                      | HALL, DEANNA K        |                  |  |
| VALLEY SIR                      | EAM, NY 11580-6111       |                      | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER |                  |  |
|                                 |                          |                      | 3767                  |                  |  |
|                                 |                          |                      |                       |                  |  |
|                                 |                          |                      | MAIL DATE             | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|                                 |                          |                      | 08/24/2007            | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| H   |   |   |         |  |
|---|---|---|---------|--|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |         |  |
|   | 10/702,303  | LEIBOFF, ARNOLD R.  |         |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |         |  |
|   | Deanna K. Hall  | 3767  |         |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address   | ;       |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim viill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI | N. sely filed the mailing date of this communi D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |         |  |
| Status  |   | •   |         |  |
| <ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Not</u></li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b>. 2b) ⊠ This</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the practice of</li></ul> | action is non-final.<br>nce except for formal matters, pro  |   | its is  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |   |         |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   | vn from consideration.  |   |         |  |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 06 November 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | re: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj                                       | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>jected to. See 37 CFR 1.1                      | 121(d). |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |         |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | on No<br>ed in this National Stag                                   | e       |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do  | ate   |         |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .  | 5) Notice of Informal F<br>6) Other:  | ratent Application  |         |  |

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :November 6, 2003; April 27, 2006; February 1, 2007.

Application/Control Number: 10/702,303

Art Unit: 3767

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on November 6, 2003, April 27, 2006 and February 1, 2007 are in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1,97(b). Accordingly, the IDSs are being considered by the Examiner.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 4-7, 9-11, 14-17, 19-24 and 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stevens (US 4,811,743).

Stevens discloses an elongate, resilient shaft 20 with a spherical bulbous enlargement 22 having a smooth, arcuate outer surface arranged at the distal end, See Fig. 2. Stevens teaches passing the shaft 20 having the bulbous enlargement 22 into a body cavity and sliding an irrigating tube (catheter) over or along the guidewire C1 L55-58, C3 L10-13 to direct fluid through the irrigating tube C2 L12-15.

Art Unit: 3767

Stevens further discloses that the portion of the shaft attached to the bulbous enlargement is more flexible than the remainder of the shaft C3 L9-10; a tapered shaft, C3 L63-64, Fig. 4; and a shaft comprising a central metal wire 24 surrounded by a coil 26, Fig. 2.

Since the outside diameter of the shaft 26 and bulbous enlargement 22 is chosen to fit within the catheter (irrigating tube) to be positioned within the guidewire C3 L32-34, the guidewire 20 of Stevens will be able to be withdrawn after catheter placement.

Further, Stevens provides this smooth bulbous enlargement so as to not perforate or damage the wall of the body cavity during guiding or insertion C1 L23-25. The guidewire is guided through the body cavity by manipulating the bulbous enlargement C1 L48-58.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3, 13, 18 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stevens (US 4,811,743).

Stevens discloses the invention as substantially claimed (see above). However, Stevens fails to disclose the specific diameter range of the bulbous enlargement 22 of about 0.25 inches to about 0.75 inches. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to increase the outside

Application/Control Number: 10/702,303

Art Unit: 3767

diameter of the shaft and bulbous enlargement to fit within the catheter to be positioned with the guidewire, See C2 L64-65, C3 L32-34 and C4 L19-21. Such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component and a change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

6. Claims 8 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stevens ('743) in view of Majlessi (4,842,583).

Stevens discloses the invention as substantially claimed (see above). Although the catheter guidewire of Stevens is disclosed for use in inserting a catheter into a subject for patient examination or treatment, See abstract and C2 L12-15, Stevens does not directly disclose that the body cavity is the colon and the guidewire is pushed through the anal sphincter and rectum into the colon. Majlessi, in the analogous art, teaches a flexible colonic irrigation tube 10 for insertion into the colon through the rectal opening 30, C4 L20-22. Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the device of Stevens with the flexible colonic irrigation tube as taught by Majlessi for guiding a tube (catheter) to the colon to be irrigated. Further, Majlessi teaches grasping the bulbous enlargement and pulling the guidewire outward from the body cavity to compress and shorten the distance between an entrance to the body cavity and a treatment site C3 L54-61.

With reference to claim 13, the combination of Stevens/Majlessi teaches the claimed invention except for the specific diameter range of the bulbous enlargement 22 of about 0.25 inches to about 0.75 inches. It would have been obvious to one having

**Art Unit: 3767** 

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to increase the outside diameter of the shaft and bulbous enlargement to fit within the catheter to be positioned with the guidewire, See C2 L64-65, C3 L32-34 and C4 L19-21. Such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component and a change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deanna K. Hall whose telephone number is 571-272-2819. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00am-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, LoAn Thanh can be reached on 571-272-4966. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/702,303 Page 6

Art Unit: 3767

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Deanna K. Hall Examiner AU 3767

dkh

LOAN H. THANH
FRIMARY EXAMINITY